

## Evaluation Sheet

**1. Romanian team (40%)**

**1.1 (20%)** Please assess the **excellence of the PI's research results**, as demonstrated by the list of publications and patents. **Please comment** on the originality of the PI's results, on their impact on the state of the art, and on their relevance for the present project.

**1.2 (10%)** Please assess the **PI's capacity to autonomously manage scientific activities** as a researcher and/or research group leader, as well as the **visibility and prestige in her/his international peer group**. **Please comment** on the PI's leadership abilities, the ability to attract international funding, and his/her level of international recognition (awards, invited talks and doctoral committees in prestigious universities). **Please take into account** only those facts that you consider relevant for the current proposal.

**1.3 (10%)** Please assess the **scientific level or the expertise for the other members of Romanian team**, as demonstrated by the list of publications and patents. **Please comment** on the originality of the team members' results, on their impact on the state of the art, and on their relevance for the present project.

**2. Proposal (60%)**

**2.1 (30%)** Please assess the **overall solution** described in the proposal in the context of the current state-of-the-art and its potential future impact. **Please comment** on the following aspects: (1) significance and the difficulty of the problem being addressed; (2) the originality of the proposed solution and the appropriateness of the objectives; (3) the potential to advance knowledge in the field and to influence the direction of thought and activity.

**2.2 (20%)** Please assess the **method and work plan** as defined by the proposal as a concrete approach to reach the envisioned solution. **Please comment** on how well selected are the methods, design and investigation tools and on the effectiveness of the work-plan within the proposed timescale and resources. Have potential problem areas been appropriately discussed, and have alternative approaches been mentioned?

**2.3 (10%)** Please assess the **quality of the consortium**. Is the partnership appropriate with regards to the scientific and technical objectives? Are there synergies and complementarities between the partners? Is the project bringing new collaborations? What is the added value of the international cooperation? Are the scientific and financial contributions of the partners from each country well-balanced?

**2.4** Please assess the adequacy of the **proposed budget** and suggest possible corrections. Please comment on the match between the work-plan and the budget, as well as on the appropriateness of the mobility (conferences, work-visits) and infrastructure acquisitions included in the budget. (There will be no score associated with this item, but the expert opinion will be useful to the funding agency in negotiating the precise financial award.)