ANEXA 4 – Fişa de evaluare (Evaluation Sheet)

Criteria number	Evaluation criteria	Evaluated aspect	Type 1	Type 2
			100%	100%
1	Scientific/technical quality		30%	30%
1.1	of the project	0.1.1.1.	1.50/	1.50/
1.1		Originality	15%	15%
1.2		Approach	15%	15%
2	Implementation		45%	40%
2.1		Human Resource	20%	15%
2.2		Infrastructure	5%	5%
2.3		Workplan	15%	15%
2.4		Budget	5%	5%
3	Socio-economic impact		25%	30%
3.1		Applicative potential	25%	20%
3.2		Business Case	0%	10%

^{*} Type 1 – Projects without Enterprises as mandatory partner;

Type 2 – Projects with Enterprises as mandatory partner.

Weights correspond to (Type1/Type2) projects.

1. Scientific quality (30%/30%)

- 1.1 **Originality (15%/15%)** How original and innovative is the research project? To what extent does the proposed research go beyond the current state of the art?
- 1.2 **Approach** (15%/15%) To what extent are the methods, techniques and investigation tools adequately selected and/or developed, well integrated, well reasoned, and appropriate to the aims of the project? Does the applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider alternative approaches?

2. Implementation (45%/40%)

- 2.1 **Human resources** (20%/15/%)How relevant is the expertise of the key team members as derived from their applied research experience, for the proposed objectives? How well do the skills and experience of the team members complement each other, and how well positioned are they to collaborate productively in view of the project objectives and workplan?
- 2.2 **Infrastructure** (5%/5%) How appropriate is the infrastructure and equipment which is available or to be acquired, in view of the projected objectives, in the given time frame?
- 2.3 **Work plan (15%/15%)** How appropriate and well adapted is the work plan for achieving the goals of this project, and how likely is it that the goals be achieved within the proposed timescale and resources?

2.4 **Budget** (5%/5%) How adequate is the budget proposed based on the objectives, the workplan and the existing human resources and infrastructure? Please comment and/or suggest possible corrections.

3. Socio-economic impact (25%/30%)

- 3.1 **Applicative potential (25%/20%)** How would you rank the potential of the project objectives to lead to significantly improved quality, performance or efficiency of goods or services? Do the proposed results distinguish enough from products/technologies/services that are already patented or available on the market, internationally? How well positioned is the project to contribute significantly to solve/improve on a quantifiable social need?
- 3.2 **Business case** (0%/10%) To what extent is the project well integrated into the business strategy of the enterprise partner, considering its market position and experience? How well positioned is the project to contribute significantly to the business growth of the enterprise partner?

Recommendations for evaluators:

- a) Please give a score for each subcriteria: 0 absent, 1 very poor, 2 poor, 3 fair, 4 good, 5 very good;
- b) The final score will be calculated as a sum of the grades for each of the subcriteria weighed by the corresponding precentage and multiplying by 20 (final score between 0 and 100);
- c) Please add comments in support of your evaluation, for each of the subcriteria.