

Fișă de evaluare (Evaluation Sheet)

1. Project leaders (30%)

1.1 How would you rate the professional prestige of the project leaders (principal investigator and coordinators of the research groups) and international visibility in their research field? (10%)

1.2 How do you assess the quality of publications of the project leaders (principal investigator and coordinators of the research groups) in their field of research? (5%).

1.3 How relevant is the expertise of the project leaders (principal investigator and coordinators of the research groups), as derived from their publications and background, for the proposed objectives? (5%).

1.4 How would you rate the level of originality and creativity of the previous results of the project leaders (principal investigator and coordinators of the research groups)? (10%)

2. Proposal and budget (70%)

2.1 Significance. How would you rate the level of importance of the specific problem studied and the potential impact of the proposed objectives for science, society or technology? Does the proposed research address important challenges at the frontiers of the field(s) addressed? (15%)

2.2 Approach. To what extent are the methods, design and investigation tools adequately selected and/or developed, well integrated, well reasoned, and appropriate to the aims of the project? Does the applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider alternative approaches? (10%).

2.3 Ground-breaking nature of the project. To what extent does the proposed research have suitably ambitious objectives, which go substantially beyond the current state of the art (e.g. including inter- and trans-disciplinary developments and novel or unconventional concepts and/or approaches)? (30%)

2.4 Work plan and resources. How appropriate and well adapted is the work plan for achieving the goals of this project, and how likely is it that the goals be achieved within the proposed timescale and resources, taking into account the existing and newly acquired resources and infrastructure? The activities of the research groups complement each other? (10%)

2.5 How adequate is the proposed budget, based on:

i) the type of research activities envisioned (theoretical research, experimental research)?

ii) the proposed expenditure on personal mobility related to the project (conferences, networking activities, visits to other labs, etc)?

iii) the aim of expanding the research infrastructure in the research laboratories?

Please comment and/or suggest possible corrections.

(5%)

Recommendations for evaluators:

a) Please give a note for each subcriteria: 0 – absent, 1 – very poor, 2 – poor, 3 – fair, 4 – good, 5 – very good;

b) The final score will be calculated as a sum of the grades for each of the subcriteria weighed by the corresponding percentage and multiplying by 20 (final score between 0 and 100);

c) Please add comments in support of your evaluation, for each of the subcriteria.