

Anexa 2.1 - Criterii de evaluare pentru propuneri proiecte de tip ERA-
NET / ERA-NET Cofund
PN-III-CEI-H2020-ERA



Criterion 1: Scientific and technical quality (40%)

- *Soundness of concept and quality of objectives*
- *Progress beyond the state of the art*
- *Quality and effectiveness of the S&T methodology and associated work plan*
- *Quality and relevant experience of the individual participants*

Criterion 2: Implementation (25 %)

- *Appropriateness of the management structures and procedures*
- *Quality of the consortium as a whole (including complementarity, balance)*
- *Appropriate allocation and justification of the resources to be committed (budget, staff, equipment)*
- *Contribution at the European or international level*

Criterion 3: Impact (35 %)

- *Contribution at national level to the expected impacts identified by the National Strategy for RDI 2020*
- *Appropriateness of the measures for dissemination and/or exploitation of project results and management of intellectual property*

Quantitative assessment of each criterion

- Please give a score for each criterion: 0 - absent, 1 - very poor, 2 - poor, 3 - fair, 4 - good, 5 - very good; the score can have decimal points.*
- The final score will be calculated as a sum of the grades for each criterion weighed by the corresponding percentage and multiplying by 20 (final score between 0 and 100);*
- Please add comments in support of your evaluation, for each criterion. The comments must clearly state the strong and weak points according to the indicators within each criterion.*

Funding category

- Strongly recommended for funding
- Recommended for funding
- Possibly funded
- Not recommended for funding



Anexa 2.2 - Criterii de evaluare pentru pre-propuneri de proiecte de tip
ERA-NET / ERA-NET Cofund
PN-III-CEI-H2020-ERA

Criterion 1: Scientific excellence of the pre-proposal

- *Relevance to the topic addressed by the call*
- *Soundness of concept and quality of objectives*
- *Proposal originality*
- *Progress beyond the state-of-the-art*

Criterion 2: Management, implementation and quality of consortium

- *Quality of the consortium as a whole (including complementarity, added value of the transnational cooperation)*
- *Experience of the principal investigator and team (if applicable)*
- *Appropriateness and justification of the budget and other resources to be committed (staff cost, equipment, etc.)*

Criterion 3: Impact

- *Contribution at the European or international level*
- *Contribution at national level to the expected impacts identified by the National Strategy for RDI 2020*
- *Appropriateness of the measures for dissemination and/or exploitation of project results and management of intellectual property*

Final recommendation

- Category A: Recommended for full proposal submission**

Category B: Pending pre-proposal

B+

B

B-

- Category C: Not recommended for full proposal submission**